Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.

- Nardelli, M., Braibanti, A. & Fava, G. (1957). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 87, 1209–1225.
- Nardelli, M. & Mangia, A. (1984). Ann. Chim. Rome, 74, 163-174.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany. Waldmann, H. (1994). Synthesis, pp. 535–551.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2857-2859

C₃₈H₅₄N₃O₉P, a New Compound in Diazadihydrophosphinine Chemistry

Santiago García-Granda,^a Rafael Santiago-García^a and Klaus Bieger^b

^aDepartamento de Química Física y Analítica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Oviedo, Avda. Julián Clavería 8, 33071 Oviedo, Spain, and ^bDepartamento de Química Organometálica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Oviedo, Avda. Julián Clavería 8, 33071 Oviedo, Spain. E-mail: sgg@dwarf1.química.uniovi.es

(Received 14 December 1995; accepted 11 June 1996)

Abstract

Another compound of the diazadihydrophosphinine family has been isolated and structurally characterized. This new compound in diazadihydrophosphinine chemistry was identified as trimethyl 5-[4-*tert*-butyl-1-cyclohexyl-2-diisopropylamino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydro-1,3,2-diazaphosphin-2-ylidene]-3-methoxy-4-oxo-1-cyclopentene-1,2,3-tricarboxylate, $C_{38}H_{54}N_3O_9P$. The parent dihydrodiazaphosphin structure is still present in the new compound and the P atom is linked by a double bond to a five-membered ring. The P(1)—C(31) bond distance is 1.731 (8) Å. The five-membered ring is planar [maximum deviation from the least-squares plane of 0.011 (9) Å for C(35)] and the ylidic charge at C α to the P atom is stabilized by the electron-attracting groups in the ring.

Comment

Recently we reported on the reactivity of diazadihydrophosphinines, (1), towards one equivalent of dimethylacetylenedicarboxilate (DMAD) (Barluenga, Tomás, Bieger, García-Granda & Santiago-García, 1996). The reaction afforded a bicyclo[3,2,1]phospha-2,8-diaza-1,3,6-octatriene that showed an unexpected reactivity towards electrophiles, leading to polycyclic products in high yields. As DMAD can also be seen as an electrophile, we made a one-pot attempt to gain insight into its reactivity. At high concentrations of DMAD,

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved the major product, (2), was afforded according to ³¹P-NMR; this was isolated by chromatography and finally obtained in the form of yellow crystals. It was characterized by spectroscopic methods but the structure could not be established without ambiguities. Single crystals were grown from ether/hexane and a diffraction study was performed on one of them. It showed that in the final product, the parent dihydrodiazaphosphin structure was still present. The P atom was linked by a P==C double bond to a five-membered ring system resulting from the interaction with two molecules of DMAD. The mechanism of the reaction is shown in the scheme below.



The compound was identified as 4-'butyl-1-cyclohexyl-2-diisopropylamino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-[4methoxy-2,3,4-tris(methoxycarbonyl)-5-oxo-2-cyclopentenyliden]-1,2-dihydro-1,3,2-diazaphosphinine, (2). The structure resembles a molecule obtained by Tebby and co-workers (Waite, Tebby, Ward & Williams, 1969) by the reaction of DMAD with triphenylphosphine, but in that case no structural data were reported. In the structure itself, most bond parameters are in the expected ranges but the P-N single bonds are shortened, probably as the result of to $p-\sigma^*$ interactions (Gilheany, 1994). These interactions should also be responsible for the flattening of the N atoms indicated by the sums of the corresponding bond angles [359.2(18) and 357.8 (16)°, respectively, in the two tricoordinated cases].

The six-membered ring [P(1),N(2),C(3),C(4),C(5),-N(6)] has a skew-boat conformation approaching the ${}^{1}S_{2}$ form in the notation of Boeyens (1978). The Cremer & Pople (1975) ring-puckering parameters are $q_{2} =$ 0.445 (7), $q_{3} = 0.165$ (7), Q = 0.474 (6) Å, $\varphi = 22$ (1), $\theta = 70$ (1)°. In the six-membered ring, mesomeric stabilization can be observed; this provokes a slight shortening of the single and a widening of the double bonds.

C38H54N3O9P

.. . •

 $wR(F^2) = 0.1269$

5454 reflections

461 parameters

H atoms riding, with

isotropic common U

S = 0.992

The five-membered ring is planar to within standard deviation [sum of the angles 539.8 (35)°, maximum deviation from the least-squares plane 0.011(9) Å fot C(35)]. The ylidic charge at $C\alpha$ to the P atom is stabilized by the electron-attracting groups in the subsystem, resulting in a lengthening of the C=O distance of the endocyclic carbonyl group to 1.232 (8) Å. The C—C bond to the γ ester group is slightly shortened [1.453 (11) Å] while the C=O distance is lengthened [1.201 (9) Å]. The ester in β could seemingly occupy two possible positions when crystallizing resulting in a disordered structure at this position. Although the methyl C atom could be found in two positions, the O atom had to be refined at the average site. The occupancy factors for the disordered atoms C(37A) and C(37B) are 0.45 (2) and 0.55 (2), respectively.



Fig. 1. EUCLID (Spek, 1982) plot showing 20% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering scheme. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Experimental

Constal data

0.57 g (1.3 mmol) diazadihydrophosphinine were dissolved under nitrogen in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂. A solution of 0.84 g (6 mmol) DMAD in 5 ml CH₂Cl₂ was added to the well stirred mixture at 253 K. After it had been allowed to warm slowly to room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by chromatography on SiO₂, eluding first with CH₂Cl₂ then with CH₂Cl₂ and ether. Yield: 0.55 g, ~60%, m.p. 513 K (dec.).

Crystat auta	
$C_{38}H_{54}N_3O_9P$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 727.81$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_{1}/c$	reflections
a = 9.846(9) Å	$\theta = 5 - 10^{\circ}$
b = 38.204(9) Å	$\mu = 0.125 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.421(9) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 113.18(7)^{\circ}$	Prismatic
$V = 3949.2 (48) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.17$ mm
<i>Z</i> = 4	Yellow
$D_x = 1.224 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
D_m not measured	

Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.083$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 23.00^{\circ}$
ω -2 θ scans	$h = -10 \rightarrow 9$
Absorption correction: empirical (XABS2: Parkin, Moezzi & Hope, 1995)	$k = 0 \rightarrow 42$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 12$ 3 standard reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.972, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	monitored every 200 reflections
5469 independent reflections 1742 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: 4.24%
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0580$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$ = 0.270 e Å⁻³ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.304 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0761P)^2]$ 6.1.1.4) where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
P(1)	0.0303 (2)	0.12128 (6)	0.2081 (2)	0.0427 (6)
N(2)	0.0280 (6)	0.16476 (14)	0.2197 (5)	0.0333 (15)
C(3)	0.1184 (8)	0.1818 (2)	0.1669(7)	0.042 (2)
C(4)	0.1437 (9)	0.1665 (2)	0.0686 (8)	0.058(2)
C(5)	0.0669 (9)	0.1352 (2)	0.0048 (8)	0.049 (2)
N(6)	0.0118 (7)	0.1134 (2)	0.0601 (5)	0.044 (2)
N(7)	-0.1140(7)	0.1033 (2)	0.2222 (6)	0.045 (2)
C(8)	-0.1122 (11)	0.0823 (2)	0.3307 (9)	0.069 (3)
C(9)	-0.0846(12)	0.1018(2)	0.4491 (8)	0.090 (4)
C(10)	-0.0355 (11)	0.0473 (2)	0.3459 (9)	0.093 (4)
C(11)	-0.2575 (10)	0.1036 (3)	0.1047 (9)	0.078 (3)
C(12)	-0.3870(11)	0.1176(3)	0.1321 (10)	0.114 (4)
C(13)	-0.2864 (11)	0.0685 (3)	0.0423 (9)	0.111 (4)
C(14)	-0.0884(8)	0.1850(2)	0.2475 (7)	0.047 (2)
C(15)	-0.0350 (9)	0.1999(2)	0.3810 (8)	0.062 (3)
C(16)	-0.1697 (10)	0.2150(3)	0.4005 (9)	0.079 (3)
C(17)	-0.2492 (12)	0.2423 (3)	0.3056 (12)	0.100 (4)
C(18)	-0.2942 (11)	0.2289 (3)	0.1700(11)	0.102 (4)
C(19)	-0.1656 (10)	0.2116 (2)	0.1463 (8)	0.074 (3)
C(20)	0.1910(8)	0.2153 (2)	0.2179 (7)	0.042 (2)
C(21)	0.2688 (8)	0.2197 (2)	0.3484 (7)	0.046 (2)
C(22)	0.3539 (9)	0.2491 (2)	0.3972 (8)	0.053 (2)
C(23)	0.3626 (9)	0.2751 (2)	0.3169 (8)	0.045 (2)
C(24)	0.2817 (9)	0.2720 (2)	0.1855 (7)	0.050(2)
C(25)	0.1997 (9)	0.2420 (2)	0.1386 (8)	0.053 (2)
C(26)	0.4879 (9)	0.3269 (2)	0.2927 (8)	0.072 (3)
C(27)	0.0413 (11)	0.1295 (2)	-0.1360 (8)	0.063 (3)
C(28)	-0.0398 (12)	0.0955 (3)	-0.1830 (8)	0.113 (4)
C(29)	-0.0458 (13)	0.1601 (3)	-0.2119 (9)	0.128 (5)
C(30)	0.1894 (11)	0.1281 (3)	-0.1488 (9)	0.102 (4)
C(31)	0.1991 (8)	0.1074 (2)	0.3196(7)	0.043 (2)
C(32)	0.2718 (8)	0.1221 (2)	0.4445 (7)	0.044 (2)
C(33)	0.4234 (10)	0.1024 (2)	0.5113 (8)	0.051 (2)
C(34)	0.4220 (10)	0.0772 (2)	0.4090 (8)	0.050 (2)
C(35)	0.2967 (9)	0.0812 (2)	0.3035 (7)	0.047 (2)
C(36)	0.2625 (12)	0.0593 (3)	0.1869 (9)	0.064 (3)
C(37A)†	0.315(2)	0.0332 (5)	0.0315 (19)	0.078 (9)

C(37B)‡	0.159 (3)	0.0121 (6)	0.069 (2)	0.135 (11)
C(38)	0.5579 (10)	0.1475 (3)	0.4615 (10)	0.089 (3)
C(39)	0.4214 (11)	0.0847 (2)	0.6292 (10)	0.058 (3)
C(40)	0.2982(12)	0.0418 (2)	0.6987 (9)	0.095 (4)
C(41)	0.5331 (10)	0.0504 (3)	0.4272 (10)	0.058 (3)
C(42)	0.7396 (9)	0.0219 (2)	0.5823 (9)	0.076 (3)
O(1)	0.4543 (6)	0.30249 (15)	0.3731 (5)	0.064 (2)
O(2)	0.2340 (6)	0.14577 (14)	0.4986 (5)	0.053 (2)
O(3)	0.3158 (8)	0.0602 (2)	0.5968 (6)	0.072 (2)
O(4)	0.4993 (8)	0.0916 (2)	0.7361 (6)	0.088 (2)
O(5)	0.6337 (7)	0.05010(14)	0.5474 (6)	0.066 (2)
O(6)	0.5385 (7)	0.0303 (2)	0.3482 (6)	0.090 (2)
O(7)	0.1720 (8)	0.0343 (2)	0.1731 (6)	0.081 (2)
O(8)	0.3209 (7)	0.0666 (2)	0.1123 (7)	0.087 (2)
O(9)	0.5421 (6)	0.1264 (2)	0.5572 (6)	0.065 (2)

† Occupancy 0.453 (15). ‡ Occupancy 0.547 (15).

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

P(1) - N(7)	1.643 (6)	C(32)—C(33)	1.575 (10)
P(1)-N(6)	1.655 (6)	C(33)—O(9)	1.415 (9)
P(1) - N(2)	1.667 (6)	C(33)—C(34)	1.509 (10)
P(1) - C(31)	1.731 (8)	C(33)—C(39)	1.514 (11)
N(2) - C(3)	1.415 (9)	C(34)—C(35)	1.351 (10)
N(2) - C(14)	1.516 (9)	C(34) - C(41)	1.453 (11)
C(3) - C(4)	1.371 (10)	C(35)—C(36)	1.494 (11)
C(3) - C(20)	1.471 (10)	C(36)O(8)	1.233 (11)
C(4) - C(5)	1.448 (10)	C(36)O(7)	1.272 (11)
C(4) - H(4A)	0.93	C(37A) - O(8)	1.56 (2)
C(5) - N(6)	1.288 (9)	C(37B) - O(7)	1.43 (2)
C(5) - C(27)	1.542 (10)	C(41)-O(6)	1.201 (9)
C(31) - C(32)	1.435 (10)	C(41)—O(5)	1.340 (10)
C(31)—C(35)	1.450 (10)	C(42)—O(5)	1.443 (9)
C(32)—O(2)	1.232 (8)		
N(7)—P(1)—N(6)	105.8 (3)	O(9)—C(33)—C(34)	117.1 (7)
N(7) = P(1) = N(2)	111.7 (3)	O(9)-C(33)-C(39)	104.9 (7)
N(6) = P(1) = N(2)	105.2 (3)	C(34)—C(33)—C(39)	113.9 (7)
C(3)—N(2)—C(14)	120.7 (6)	O(9)—C(33)—C(32)	110.9 (6)
C(3) - N(2) - P(1)	113.1 (5)	C(34)—C(33)—C(32)	102.2 (7)
C(14) - N(2) - P(1)	124.0 (5)	C(39)—C(33)—C(32)	107.6(7)
C(4)—C(3)—N(2)	120.1 (7)	C(35)—C(34)—C(41)	125.3 (8)
C(4)—C(3)—C(20)	118.6 (7)	C(35)—C(34)—C(33)	110.2 (7)
N(2)—C(3)—C(20)	121.3 (7)	C(41) - C(34) - C(33)	124.2 (8)
C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	122.4 (8)	C(34)—C(35)—C(31)	112.5 (7)
C(3)—C(4)—H(4A)	118.8 (5)	C(34)—C(35)—C(36)	122.6 (8)
C(5)—C(4)—H(4A)	118.8 (5)	C(31)—C(35)—C(36)	124.9 (8)
N(6)—C(5)—C(4)	121.6 (7)	O(8)C(36)O(7)	125.3 (9)
N(6)—C(5)—C(27)	119.5 (8)	O(8)-C(36)-C(35)	119.1 (10)
C(4)—C(5)—C(27)	118.7 (8)	O(7)C(36)C(35)	115.6 (10)
C(5) - N(6) - P(1)	119.0 (6)	O(6)-C(41)-O(5)	122.1 (9)
C(32)—C(31)—C(35)	107.4 (7)	O(6)-C(41)-C(34)	126.6 (9)
C(32) - C(31) - P(1)	124.8 (6)	O(5)-C(41)-C(34)	111.3 (8)
C(35)—C(31)—P(1)	127.6 (6)	C(41)O(5)C(42)	116.9 (7)
O(2)—C(32)—C(31)	130.8 (7)	C(36)O(7)C(37B)	112.1 (12)
O(2)—C(32)—C(33)	121.6 (7)	C(36)O(8)C(37A)	107.8 (10)
C(31)—C(32)—C(33)	107.5 (7)		
N(2)—P(1)—N(6)—C(5)	32.5 (8)	N(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	8(1)
N(6) - P(1) - N(2) - C(3)	-45.6(7)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-N(6)	-25(1)
P(1) - N(2) - C(3) - C(4)	29(1)	C(4) - C(5) - N(6) - P(1)	0(1)

Data were collected by profile analysis over all reflections (Lehman & Larsen, 1974; Grant & Gabe, 1978). The structure was solved by Patterson methods and the automatic phase expansion procedure using *DIRDIF* (Beurskens *et al.*, 1992). The structure was refined anisotropically by least-squares methods using *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Geometrical calculations were made using *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983). All calculations were made at the University of Oviedo on the Scientific Computer Center and X-ray group VAX computers.

Data collection: Diffractometer Control Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1993). Cell refinement: CRYSDA (Beurskens et al., 1992). Data reduction: REFLEX (local program). Program(s) used to solve structure: DIRDIF. Program(s) used to refine We thank DGICYT for support (PB93–0330), the European Communities for a grant to KB (ERBCH-BICT941732), and MEC for a grant to RS-G.

Lists of structure factors, spectroscopy data, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1100). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Barluenga, J., Tomás, M., Bieger, K., García-Granda, S. & Santiago-García, R. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 896–897.
- Beurskens, P. T., Admiraal, G., Beurskens, G., Bosman, W. P., Garcia-Granda, S., Gould, R. O., Smits, J. M. M. & Smykalla, C. (1992). *The DIRDIF Program System*. Technical Report. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Boeyens, J. C. A. (1978). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 8, 317-320.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354–1358. Enraf-Nonius (1993). Diffractometer Control Software. Release 5.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gilheany, D. G. (1994). Chem. Rev. 94, 1339–1374.
- Grant, D. F. & Gabe, E. J. (1978). J. Appl. Cryst. 11, 114–120.
- Lehman, M. S. & Larsen, F. K. (1974). Acta Cryst. A**30**, 580–584.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Parkin, S., Moezzi, B. & Hope, H. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 53-56.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for Crystal Structure Refinement. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1982). The EUCLID Package. Computational Crystallography, edited by D. Sayre, p. 528. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Waite, N. E., Tebby, J. C., Ward, R. S. & Williams, D. H. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. C, pp. 1100–1104.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2859-2861

Methyl Triphenylphosphoranylideneacetate: a Redetermination

PAUL D. BOYLE

Department of Chemistry, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada, E3B 6E2. E-mail: boyle@laue.chem.ncsu.edu

(Received 9 February 1996; accepted 6 June 1996)

Abstract

The structure of the title compound, $C_{21}H_{19}O_2P$, has been redetermined to provide a more precise molecular geometry. Close C—H···O contacts, not remarked upon in the original paper, are discussed briefly.